# The Modelling and Simulation Process

- 1. History of Modelling and Simulation
- 2. Modelling and Simulation Concepts
- 3. Levels of Abstraction
- 4. Experimental Frame
- 5. Validation
- 6. Studying a mass-spring system
- 7. The Modelling and Simulation Process

# Modelling and simulation: past

(1950–): Numerical simulations: numerical analysis, statistical analysis, simulation languages (CSSL, discrete-event world views). focus: performance, accuracy

(1981–): Artificial Intelligence: model = knowledge representationUse AI techniques in modelling, AI uses simulation ("deep" knowledge)focus: knowledge

(1988–): Object-oriented modelling and simulation focus: object orientation, later "agents", non-causal modelling

## Modelling and simulation: past, present, future

(1993–): Multi-formalism, Multi-paradigm (2001 –)

- 1. Do it right (optimally) the first time (market pressure)
- 2. Complex systems: **multi-formalism**
- 3. Hybrid: continuous-discrete, hardware/software
- 4. Exchange (between humans/tools) and re-use (validated model)
- User focus: do not expect user to know details (software: glueing of components), need for tools



# Behaviour (homo)morphism



#### Verification and Validation



#### Carl Popper: Falsification, Confidence



# System, Base Model, Lumped Model

 $D_{BaseModel} \equiv D_{RealSystem}$ 

 $D_{LumpedModel} || E \equiv D_{RealSystem} || E$ 

#### **Experimental Frame Structure**



 $\sim$  Programming Language Types, Pre/Post-conditions

## Models and matching Experimental Frames



## **Experimental Frame and Validity**

Replicative Validity ( $\equiv$ : within accuracy bounds):

 $D_{LumpedModel} || E \equiv D_{BaseModel} || E$ 

Predictive Validity:

$$F_{LumpedModel} || E \subseteq F_{BaseModel} || E$$

Structural Validity (morphism  $\stackrel{\triangle}{=}$ ):

$$LumpedModel || E \stackrel{\triangle}{=} BaseModel || E$$

Simulator Verification:

$$D_{Simulator} \equiv D_{LumpedModel}$$

McGill, 23 September, 2002

hv@cs.mcgill.ca

COMP-CS522A Modelling and Simulation

# Modelling (and Simulation) Choices

- 1. System Boundaries and Constraints: Experimental Frame (EF)
- 2. Level of Abstraction
- 3. Formalism(s)
- 4. Level of Accuracy

# System under study: T, l controlled liquid



# System Boundaries (Experimental Frame)

- Inputs: liquid flow rate, heating/cooling rate
- Outputs: observed level, temperature
- Contraints: no overflow/underflow, one phase only (no boiling)

# Abstraction: detailed (continuous) view, ALG + ODE formalism

Inputs (discontinuous  $\rightarrow$  hybrid model):

- Emptying, filling flow rate  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
- Temperature of inflowing liquid *T*<sub>in</sub>
- Rate of adding/removing heat W

Parameters:

- Cross-section surface of vessel *A*
- Specific heat of liquid *c*
- Density of liquid ρ

State variables:

- Temperature *T*
- Level of liquid *l*

Outputs (sensors):

• *is\_low*,*is\_high*,*is\_cold*,*is\_hot* 

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{1}{l} \left[ \frac{W}{c\rho A} - \phi (T - T_{in}) \right]$$
$$\frac{dl}{dt} = \phi$$
$$is\_low = (l < l_{low})$$
$$is\_high = (l > l_{high})$$
$$is\_cold = (T < T_{cold})$$
$$is\_hot = (T > T_{hot})$$



#### Levels of abstraction: trajectories (behaviour)



# Levels of accuracy

- Depends on "equality" metric (definition of accuracy)
- Depends on choice of formalism
- Depends on choice of numerical approximation

# Levels of abstraction: behaviour morphism



# A Modelling and Simulation Exercise: the Mass-Spring system



# Knowledge Sources

- A Priori Knowledge: Laws of Physics
- Goals, Intentions: Predict trajectory given Initial Conditions, "optimise" behaviour, ...
  - 1. Analysis
  - 2. Design
  - 3. Control
- Measurement Data

#### Measured Data



# Experimental Frame

- Room Temperature, normal humidity, ...
- Frictionless, Ideal Spring, ...
- Apply deviation from rest position
- Observe position as function of time

# Structure Characterisation

- n-1-order polynomial will perfectly fit n data points
- Ideal Spring: *Feature* = maximum amplitude constant
- Spring with Damping: *Feature* = amplitute decreases

 $\Rightarrow$  Ideal Spring

## Building the model from a-priori knowledge

Newton's Law

$$F = M \frac{d^2 \Delta x}{dt}$$

**Ideal Spring** 

$$F = -K\Delta x$$

 $\downarrow$ 

$$\frac{d^2\Delta x}{dt^2} = -\frac{K}{M}\Delta x$$

## Model representation

```
CLASS Spring "Ideal Spring": DAEmodel :=
{
    OBJ F_left: ForceTerminal,
    OBJ F_right: ForceTerminal,
    OBJ RestLength: LengthParameter,
    OBJ SpringConstant: SCParameter,
    OBJ x: LengthState,
    OBJ v: SpeedState,
    F_left - F_right = - SpringConstant * (x - RestLength),
```

```
DERIV([x, [t, ]]) = v,
```

```
EF_assert( x - RestLenght < RestLength/100),
},</pre>
```

# From Model to Simulation

**Block-diagrams** 

analog computers, Continuous System Modelling Program (CSMP)

- From (algebraic) equation to Block Diagram
- Higher order differential equations



**Time-slicing Simulator** 

# Experimentation

#### 1. Model

- 2. Parameters (constant for each simulation run)
- 3. Initial Conditions
- 4. Input (file, interactive, real system)
- 5. Output (file, plot, real system)
- 6. Solver Configuration
- 7. Experiment type (simulation, optimization, parameter estimation = model calibration)

#### Model Calibration: Parameter Fit



From Here On ...

- Virtual Experiments: simulation, optimisation, what-if, ...
- Validation/Falsification

#### The Modelling and Simulation *Process*





"release"

