The Modelling and Simulation Process

- 1. History of Modelling and Simulation
- 2. Modelling and Simulation Concepts
- 3. Levels of Abstraction
- 4. Experimental Frame
- 5. Validation
- 6. Studying ^a mass-spring system
- 7. The Modelling and Simulation Process

Modelling and simulation: past

(1950–): Numerical simulations: numerical analysis, statistical analysis, simulation languages (CSSL, discrete-event world views). focus: performance, accuracy

(1981–): Artificial Intelligence: model ⁼ knowledge representation Use AI techniques in modelling, AI uses simulation ("deep" knowledge) focus: knowledge

(1988–): Object-oriented modelling and simulation focus: object orientation, later "agents", non-causal modelling

Modelling and simulation: past, present, future

(1993–): Multi-formalism, Multi-paradigm (2001 –)

- 1. Do it right (optimally) the first time (market pressure)
- 2. Complex systems: **multi-formalism**
- 3. Hybrid: continuous-discrete, hardware/software
- 4. **Exchange** (between humans/tools) and **re-use** (validated model)
- 5. User focus: do not expect user to know details (software: glueing of components), need for **tools**

Behaviour (homo)morphism

Verification and Validation

Carl Popper: Falsification, Confidence

System, Base Model, Lumped Model

 $D_{BaseModel} \equiv D_{RealSystem}$

 $D_{LumpedModel}$ $\Vert E \equiv D_{RealSystem}$ $\Vert E \Vert$

Experimental Frame Structure

 \sim Programming Language Types, Pre/Post-conditions

Models and matching Experimental Frames

Experimental Frame and Validity

Replicative Validity $(\equiv:$ within accuracy bounds):

$$
D_{LumpedModel} || E \equiv D_{BaseModel} || E
$$

Predictive Validity:

$$
F_{LumpedModel} || E \subseteq F_{BaseModel} || E
$$

Structural Validity (morphism \triangleq):

$$
LumpedModel||E \stackrel{\triangle}{=} BaseModel||E
$$

Simulator Verification:

$$
D_{Simulator} \equiv D_{LumpedModel}
$$

Modelling (and Simulation) Choices

- 1. System Boundaries and Constraints: Experimental Frame (EF)
- 2. Level of Abstraction
- 3. Formalism(s)
- 4. Level of Accuracy

System under study: *T l* controlled liquid

System Boundaries (Experimental Frame)

- Inputs: liquid flow rate, heating/cooling rate
- Outputs: observed level, temperature
- Contraints: no overflow/underflow, one phase only (no boiling)

Abstraction: detailed (continuous) view, ALG ⁺ ODE formalism

Inputs (discontinuous \rightarrow hybrid model):

- Emptying, filling flow rate ϕ
- Temperature of inflowing liquid *Tin*
- Rate of adding/removing heat W

Parameters:

- Cross-section surface of vessel *A*
- Specific heat of liquid *^c*
- Density of liquid ρ

State variables:

- Temperature *T*
- Level of liquid *l*

Outputs (sensors):

 is lowis high is cold is hot

$$
\int \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{1}{l} \left[\frac{W}{c\rho A} - \phi (T - T_{in}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dI}{dt} = \phi
$$
\n
$$
is \text{low} = (l < l_{low})
$$
\n
$$
is \text{high} = (l > l_{high})
$$
\n
$$
is \text{cold} = (T < T_{cold})
$$
\n
$$
is \text{hot} = (T > T_{hot})
$$

Levels of abstraction: trajectories (behaviour)

Levels of accuracy

- Depends on "equality" metric (definition of accuracy)
- Depends on choice of formalism
- Depends on choice of numerical approximation

Levels of abstraction: behaviour morphism

A Modelling and Simulation Exercise: the Mass-Spring system

Knowledge Sources

- A Priori Knowledge: Laws of Physics
- Goals, Intentions: Predict trajectory given Initial Conditions, "optimise" behaviour, . . .
	- 1. Analysis
	- 2. Design
	- 3. Control
- Measurement Data

Measured Data

Experimental Frame

- Room Temperature, normal humidity, . . .
- Frictionless, Ideal Spring, . . .
- Apply deviation from rest position
- Observe position as function of time

Structure Characterisation

- $n-1$ -order polynomial will perfectly fit *n* data points
- Ideal Spring: Feature = maximum amplitude constant
- Spring with Damping: $Feature = amplitude$ decreases

 \Rightarrow Ideal Spring

Building the model from a-priori knowledge

Newton's Law

$$
F = M \frac{d^2 \Delta x}{dt}
$$

Ideal Spring

$$
F = -K \Delta x
$$

Ideal Spring

$$
F = -K\Delta x
$$

 \downarrow

$$
\frac{d^2\Delta x}{dt^2} = -\frac{K}{M}\Delta x
$$

Model representation

```
CLASS Spring "Ideal Spring": DAEmodel :=
{
 OBJ F left: ForceTerminal,
 OBJ F_right: ForceTerminal,
 OBJ RestLength: LengthParameter,
 OBJ SpringConstant: SCParameter,
 OBJ x: LengthState,
 OBJ v: SpeedState,
```

```
F_left - F_right = - SpringConstant * (x - \text{RestLength}),
DERIV([x, [t,]]) = v,
```

```
EF_assert(x - RestLenght < RestLength/100),
},
```
From Model to Simulation

Block-diagrams

analog computers, Continuous System Modelling Program (CSMP)

- From (algebraic) equation to Block Diagram
- Higher order differential equations

Time-slicing Simulator

Experimentation

1. Model

- 2. Parameters (constant for each simulation run)
- 3. Initial Conditions
- 4. Input (file, interactive, real system)
- 5. Output (file, plot, real system)
- 6. Solver Configuration
- 7. Experiment type (s_{i}) (simulation, optimization, parameter estimation = model calibration)

Model Calibration: Parameter Fit

From Here On ...

- Virtual Experiments: simulation, optimisation, what-if, . . .
- Validation/Falsification

The Modelling and Simulation Process

"release"

