

Overview

- Petri net notation and definition (no dynamics)
- Introducing State: Petri net marking
- Petri net dynamics
- Capacity Constrained Petri nets
- Petri net models for ...
 - FSA
 - Nondeterminism
 - Data Flow Computation
 - Communication Protocols
 - Queueing Systems

- Petri nets vs. State Automata
- Analysis of Petri nets
 - Boundedness
 - Liveness and Deadlock
 - State Reachability
 - State Coverability
 - Persistence
 - Language Recognition
- The Coverability Tree
- Extensions: colour, time, ...

Petri nets

- Formalism similar to FSA
- Graphical notation
- C.A. Petri 1960s
- Additions to FSA:
 - Explicitly (graphically) represent when event is enabled
→ describe control logic
 - Elegant notation of concurrency
 - Express non-determinism

Petri net notation and definition (no dynamics)

$$(P, T, A, w)$$


- $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ is a finite set of *places*
- $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ is a finite set of *transitions*
- $A \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)$ is a set of *arcs*
- $w : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a *weight function*

Note: no need for *countable* P and T .

Derived Entities

- $I(t_j) = \{p_i : (p_i, t_j) \in A\}$ set of *input places* to transition t_j
(\equiv conditions for transition)
- $O(t_j) = \{p_i : (t_j, p_i) \in A\}$ set of *output places* from transition t_j
(\equiv affected by transition)
- Transitions \equiv events
- similarly: input- and output-transitions for p_i
- graphical representation: *Petri net graph* (multigraph)

Example Petri net



- $P = \{H_2, O_2, H_2O\}$
- $T = \{t\}$
- $A = \{(H_2, t), (O_2, t), (t, H_2O)\}$
- $w((H_2, t)) = 2, w((O_2, t)) = 1, w((t, H_2O)) = 2$



Pure Petri net

- No self-loops:

$$\nexists p_i \in P, t_j \in T : (p_i, t_j) \in A, (t_j, p_i) \in A$$

- Can convert impure to pure Petri net

Impure to Pure Petri net



Introducing State: Petri net Markings

- Conditions met ? Use *tokens* in places
- Token assignment \equiv *marking* x

$$x : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

- A marked Petri net

$$(P, T, A, w, x_0)$$

x_0 is the *initial marking*

- The *state* \mathbf{x} of a marked Petri net

$$\mathbf{x} = [x(p_1), x(p_2), \dots, x(p_n)]$$

Number of tokens need not be bounded (cfr. State Automata states).

State Space of Marked Petri net


- All n -dimensional vectors of nonnegative integer markings

$$X = \mathbb{N}^n$$

- Transition $t_j \in T$ is *enabled* if

$$x(p_i) \geq w(p_i, t_j), \forall p_i \in I(t_j)$$

Example with marking, enabled



Petri Net Dynamics

State Transition Function f of marked Petri net (P, T, A, w, x_0)

$$f : \mathbb{N}^n \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^n$$

is defined for transition $t_j \in T$ if and only if

$$x(p_i) \geq w(p_i, t_j), \forall p_i \in I(t_j)$$


If $f(\mathbf{x}, t_j)$ is defined, set $\mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x}, t_j)$ where

$$x'(p_i) = x(p_i) - w(p_i, t_j) + w(t_j, p_i)$$


- State transition function f based on *structure* of Petri net
- Number of tokens *need not be conserved* (but can)

Example “firing”

- Use PNS tool <http://www.ee.uwa.edu.au/braunl/pns/>
- Select Sequential Manual execution
- Transition: $[2, 2, 0] \rightarrow [0, 1, 2]$




Example



- order of firing not determined (due to untimed model)
- selfloop
- “dead” net


Conflict, choice, decision




Semantics

- *sequential vs. parallel*
- Handle nondeterminism:
 1. User choice
 2. Priorities
 3. Probabilities (Monte Carlo)
 4. Reachability Graph (enumerate all choices)

Application: Critical Section



Reachability Graph



Algebraic Description of Dynamics

- Firing vector \mathbf{u} : transition j firing

$$\mathbf{u} = [0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$$


- Incidence matrix \mathbf{A} :

$$a_{ji} = w(t_j, p_i) - w(p_i, t_j)$$

- State Equation

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{uA}$$

Infinite Capacity Petri net




- Add Capacity Constraint: $K : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- New transition rule


Can transform to infinite capacity net

1. Add complimentary place p' with initial marking $x_0(p') = K(p)$
2. Between each transition t and complimentary places p'
 - add arcs (t, p') or (p', t) where
 - $w(t, p') = w(p, t)$
 - $w(p', t) = w(t, p)$


Capacity Constrained Petri net



Equivalence proof: use Reachability Graph



Petri net as State Machine



Representing a Petri net as a State Machine

Construct Reachability Graph

- Reachability Graph is State Machine
- States are tuples (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)
- Events correspond to t_i firing
- May be infinite

Representing a State Machine as a Petri net



1. no output
2. with output

⇒ automatic (though inefficient) transformation

FSA without output


FSA with output

Petri net models for Queueing Systems




Capacity Constraints for Resource Conservation


Simple Server/Queue Model



Model departure explicitly



Model Server Breakdown



Modular Composition: Communication Protocol


Build incrementally:

1. Single transmitter: FSA vs. Petri net
2. Two transmitters competing for channel


Pros/Cons of Petri net models (depends on goals !):

- Petri net is more complex than FSA for single transmitter
- More insight
- Incremental modelling
- Modular modelling
- Intuitive modelling of concurrency


Single Transmitter FSA




Single Transmitter Petri net



Concurrent, Non-interacting Transmitters



Concurrent, Interacting Transmitters



Analysis of Petri nets

Analysis of *logical* or *qualitative* behaviour.


Resource sharing \Rightarrow *fair* usage of resources:

- Boundedness
- Conservation
- Liveness and Deadlock
- State Reachability
- State Coverability
- Persistence
- Language Recognition

Boundedness


- Example: upper bound on number of customers in queue.
- Definition: A place $p_i \in P$ in a Petri net with initial state \mathbf{x}_0 is *k-bounded* or *k-safe* if $x(p_i) \leq k$ for all states in all possible sample paths.
- A 1-bounded place is called *safe*.
- If a place is *k-bounded* for some k , the place is *bounded*.
- If all places are bounded, the Petri net is *bounded*.

Bounded vs. Unbounded




Conservation

Token represents *resource, process, ...*



Sum $Busy + Idle$ tokens must be *constant* for all states in all sample paths

Conservation, weighted sum



$$2 \text{ Transm} + \text{Idle} + \text{trsChannel} = \text{constant}$$

Conservation

A Petri net with initial state x_0 is
conservative with respect to $\gamma = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n]$ if


$$\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i x(p_i) = \text{constant}$$

for all states in all possible sample paths.

Liveness and Deadlock


- Cyclic dependency \Rightarrow wait indefinitely
- Deadlock
- Deadlock avoidance: avoid certain states in sample paths

Deadlock in Queueing system with Rework



$$[QueueFree, Queue1, Rework] = [0, 1, 1]$$

Deadlock resolved



Liveness

Given initial state \mathbf{x}_0 , a transition in a Petri net is:

- L0-live (dead): if the transition can never fire.
- L1-live: if there is some firing sequence from \mathbf{x}_0 such that the transition can fire at least once.
- L2-live: if the transition can fire at least k times for some given positive integer k .
- L3-live: if there exists some infinite firing sequence in which the transition appears infinitely often.
- L4-live: if the transition is L1-live for every possible state reached from \mathbf{x}_0 .

Liveness example

State Reachability

- A state \mathbf{x} in a Petri net is *reachable* from a state \mathbf{x}_0 if there exists a sequence of transitions starting at \mathbf{x}_0 such that the state eventually becomes \mathbf{x} .
- Build/use reachability graph.
- Deadlock avoidance is a special case of reachability.

State Coverability

- In a Petri net with initial state \mathbf{x}_0 , a state \mathbf{y} is *coverable* if there exists a sequence of transitions starting at \mathbf{x}_0 such that the state eventually becomes \mathbf{x} and $x(p_i) \geq y(p_i)$.
- Related to L1-liveness: *minimum number of tokens required* to enable a transition.

Persistence

- More than one transition enabled by the same set of conditions (choice, undeterminism).
- If one fires, does the other remain enabled ?
- A Petri net is *persistent* if, for any two enabled transitions, the firing of one cannot disable the other.
- Non-interruptedness (of multiple processes).

Language Recognition

Language defined by Petri net

≡

set of transition sequences which can fire

Coverability Notation

- Root node
- Terminal node
- Duplicate node

Coverability Notation

- Node *dominance*

$$\mathbf{x} = [x(p_1), x(p_2), \dots, x(p_n)]$$

$$\mathbf{y} = [y(p_1), y(p_2), \dots, y(p_n)]$$

$\mathbf{x} >_d \mathbf{y}$ (\mathbf{x} dominates \mathbf{y}) if

1. $x(p_i) \geq y(p_i), \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
2. $x(p_i) > y(p_i)$ for at least some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

- The symbol ω represents *infinity*

$$\mathbf{x} >_d \mathbf{y}$$


For all i such that $x(p_i) > y(p_i)$, replace $x(p_i)$ by ω

$$\omega + k = \omega = \omega - k$$

Coverability Tree Construction

1. Initialize $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ (initial state)
2. For each new node \mathbf{x} ,
evaluate the transition function $f(\mathbf{x}, t_i)$ for all $t_j \in T$:
 - (a) if $f(\mathbf{x}, t_j)$ is undefined for all $t_j \in T$, then \mathbf{x} is a terminal node.
 - (b) if $f(\mathbf{x}, t_j)$ is defined for some $t_j \in T$,
create a new node $\mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x}, t_j)$.
 - i. if $x(p_i) = \omega$ for some p_i , set $x'(p_i) = \omega$.
 - ii. If there exists a node \mathbf{y} in the path from root node \mathbf{x}_0 (included) to \mathbf{x} such that $\mathbf{x}' >_d \mathbf{y}$, set $x'(p_i) = \omega$ for all p_i such that $x'(p_i) > y(p_i)$
 - iii. Otherwise, set $\mathbf{x}' = f(\mathbf{x}, t_j)$.
3. Stop if all new nodes are either *terminal* or *duplicate*

Coverability Tree Example: Cashier/Queue



Coverability Tree Example: Cashier/Queue

Applications of the Coverability Tree

- Boundedness: ω does not appear in coverability tree
- Bounded Petri net \Rightarrow reachability graph
- Conservation: $\gamma_i = 0$ for ω positions
- Inverse problem: what are γ and C ?
- Coverability: inspect coverability tree
- Limitations: deadlock detection