

# A Multi-Paradigm Modelling Foundation for Twinning Within the Context of Systems Engineering

**Randy Paredis** 

### **Research Questions**

- RQ1: What are the most common reasons/definitions for (creating) Digital Twins (DTs)?
- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
- RQ4: How to quantitatively support deployment choices?
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?



### **Research Questions**

- RQ1: What are the most common reasons/definitions for (creating) Digital Twins (DTs)?
- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
- RQ4: How to quantitatively support deployment choices?
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?



# **Digital Twin?**

**Digital Twins** consist of three components, a physical product, a virtual representation of that product, and the bi-directional data connections that feed data from the physical to the virtual representation, and information and processes from the virtual representation to the physical. [1]

A **Digital Twin** is an integrated multi-physics, multi-scale, probabilistic simulation of a vehicle or system that uses the best available physical models, sensor updates, fleet history, etc., to mirror the life of its flying twin. The digital twin is ultra-realistic and may consider one or more important and interdependent vehicle systems. **[5]** 

A **Digital Twin** is a coupled model of the real machine that operates in the cloud platform and simulates the health condition with an integrated knowledge from both data driven analytical algorithms as well as other available physical knowledge. **[7]** 

**Digital Twins** is a unified system model that can coordinate architecture, mechanical, electrical, software, verification, and other discipline-specific models across the system lifecycle, federating models in multiple vendor tools and configuration-controlled. **[8]** 

**Digital Twins** are software systems comprising data, models and services to interact with a CPPS for a specific purpose. [9]



#### ... and many more!

The **Digital Twin** is a set of virtual information constructs that fully describes a potential or actual physical manufactured product from the micro atomic level to the macro geometrical level. At its optimum, any information that could be obtained from inspecting a physical manufactured product can be obtained from its Digital Twin. **[2]** 

**Digital Twins** are a virtual representation of the physical objects, processes and real-time data involved throughout a product life-cycle. [3]

A **Digital Twin** is an ultra-realistic virtual counterpart of a real-world object. **[4]** 

A **Digital Twin** is an ultra-realistic, cradle-to-grave computer model of an aircraft structure that is used to assess the aircraft's ability to meet mission requirements. **[6]** 

[1] D. Jones et al. 2020. "Characterising the Digital Twin: A systematic literature review". In CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology.

[2] M. Grieves. 2017. "Digital Twin: Mitigating Unpredictable, Undesirable Emergent Behavior in Complex Systems". In Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Complex Systems.

[3] W. D. Lin and M. Y. H. Low. 2019. "Concept and implementation of a cyber-physical digital twin for a SMT line". In 2019 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM).

[4] H. Park et al. 2019. "Challenges in Digital Twin Development for Cyber-Physical Production Systems". In Cyber-Physical Systems. Model-Based Design.

[5] E. Glaessgen and D. Stargel. 2012. "The digital twin paradigm for future NASA and U.S. Air Force vehicles". In Proc. 53rd AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Struct. Struct. Dyn. Mater. Conf.

[6] B. T. Gockel et al. 2012. "Challenges with Structural Life Forecasting using Realistic Mission Profiles". In 53rd AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Struct. Struct. Dyn. Mater. Conf.

[7] J. Lee. et al. 2013. "Recent advances and trends in predictive manufacturing systems in big data environment". In Manufacturing Letter 1.

[8] M. Bajaj, D. Zwemer and B. Cole. 2016. "Integrating System Models with Architecture to Geometry". In AIAA Sp. Forum.

[9] P. Bibow et al. 2020 "Model-Driven Development of a Digital Twin for Injection Molding". In CAiSE 2020. LNCS.

# **Conceptual Ideal for PLM**





M. Grieves. 2016. "Origins of the Digital Twin Concept".







# **Conceptual Ideal for PLM**



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

#### Proof-of-Concept: Line Following Robot











University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

R. Paredis, and H.Vangheluwe. 2021. "Exploring A Digital Shadow Design Workflow By Means Of A Line Following Robot Use-Case". In Proceedings of ANNSIM 2021.

#### Proof-of-Concept: Line Following Robot











# **LFR vs Incubator**





University of Antwerp

12 R. Paredis, H.Vangheluwe, and P.A.R. Albertins. 2024. "COOCK project Smart Port 2025 D3.1: "To Twin Or Not To Twin"". Techical Report. R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

#### **Quality Assurance**



"feature model" to capture variability



13 R. Paredis, H.Vangheluwe, and P.A.R. Albertins. 2024. "COOCK project Smart Port 2025 D3.1: "To Twin Or Not To Twin"". Techical Report. R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.





"feature model" to capture variability



R. Paredis, H.Vangheluwe, and P.A.R. Albertins. 2024. "COOCK project Smart Port 2025 D3.1: "To Twin Or Not To Twin"". Techical Report.

R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

# **Research Questions**

- RQ1: What are the most common reasons/definitions for (creating) Digital Twins (DTs)?
- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
- RQ4: How to quantitatively support deployment choices?
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?





University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

# **Digital Z Architecture**





# **5D Architecture**





\* Agent does not refer to Agent Based Modelling/Simulation; but to "interfaces"

# **Conceptual Architecture(s)**



presence conditions to capture variability



\* Agent does not refer to Agent Based Modelling/Simulation; but to "interfaces"

20

# **Conceptual Architecture(s)**



presence conditions to capture variability

Faculty of Science

An **experiment** is an intentional set of (possibly hierarchically composed) activities, carried out on a specific SuS in order to accomplish a specific set of goals.



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

R. Paredis, H.Vangheluwe, and P.A.R. Albertins. 2024. "COOCK project Smart Port 2025 D3.1: "To Twin Or Not To Twin"". Techical Report. R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

\* Agent does not refer to Agent Based Modelling/Simulation; but to "interfaces"

# **Conceptual Architecture(s)**



presence conditions to capture variability



\* Agent does not refer to Agent Based Modelling/Simulation; but to "interfaces"

# **Conceptual Architecture(s)**



presence conditions to capture variability



#### **Conceptual Architecture Example(s)**



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.





30

25

of ships) 0

capacity (number o 0 5

15

actual port

--- simulated port



 $D \leftarrow$  Drop-off value for the error.  $M \leftarrow$  Maximal allowed time above D.  $prev \leftarrow -1$ while system is running do  $cap_A \leftarrow$  Capacity value of the AO.  $cap_T \leftarrow$  Capacity value of the TO.  $T \leftarrow Current$  execution time.  $error \leftarrow |cap_A - cap_T|$ if prev > 0 and T - prev > M then Raise an anomaly. end if if error > D then if prev < 0 then  $prev \leftarrow T$ end if else  $prev \leftarrow -1$ end if end while

#### Proof-of-Concept: Port of Antwerp



actual port

--- fault injection

4000

4500

#### **Proof-of-Concept: Port of Antwerp**





actual port

--- fault injection

4000

4500

#### **Proof-of-Concept: Port of Antwerp**



# **Research Questions**

- RQ1: What are the most common reasons/definitions for (creating) Digital Twins (DTs)?
- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
- RQ4: How to quantitatively support deployment choices?
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?



# Stages of Twinning Variability (main contribution)



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

# **Stages of Twinning Variability – The Problem Space**



University of Antwerp Faculty of Science

R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

# **Stages of Twinning Variability – Architectures**



University of Antwerg

R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

# **Stages of Twinning Variability – Modelling**



### MPM: Using the most appropriate...

Initial



1. for  $\{0 \le z \le z_f - \sigma\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial X(z,t)}{\partial t} &= -\left[ \left( 1 - nX(z,t) \right) v_0 e^{-nX(z,t)} + \frac{Q_u(t)}{A} \right] \frac{\partial X(z,t)}{\partial z} \\ &+ D_0 \frac{\partial^2 X(z,t)}{\partial z^2}; \end{aligned}$$

2. for  $\{ z_f - \sigma < z < z_f + \sigma \}$ :

$$\frac{\partial X(z,t)}{\partial t} = -\left[ \left( 1 - nX(z,t) \right) v_0 e^{-nX(z,t)} + \frac{Q_u(t)}{A} \right] \frac{\partial X(z,t)}{\partial z} + X_f(t) \frac{Q_f(t)}{A} \frac{1}{2\sigma} + D_0 \frac{\partial^2 X(z,t)}{\partial z^2};$$

3. for  $\{z_f + \sigma \le z \le L\}$ :

$$\frac{\partial X(z,t)}{\partial t} = -\left[ \left( 1 - nX(z,t) \right) v_0 e^{-nX(z,t)} + \frac{Q_u(t)}{A} \right] \frac{\partial X(z,t)}{\partial z} + D_0 \frac{\partial^2 X(z,t)}{\partial z^2}.$$







### MPM: sGPSS to Python(P)DEVS (master's thesis)



from pypdevs.DEVS import CoupledDEVS from pypdevsbbl.domain.qpss import Transaction, Hold, Controller, dist from pypdevsbbl.domain.gpss import ADVANCE, TERMINATE from pypdevsbbl.generic.generators import RandomDelayGenerator as GENERATE class Model(CoupledDEVS): def init (self): super(). init ("Model") self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller = self.addSubModel(Controller("GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller")) self.GPSS2DEVS 0 L0 = self.addSubModel(GENERATE("GPSS2DEVS 0 L0", dist=dist, args=(10,), func=lambda x, t: Transaction(x, t), dt=None)) self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L0 = self.addSubModel(Hold("GPSS2DEVS 4 L0")) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 0 L0.output, self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L0.input) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 0 L0.output, self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller.create) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller.broadcast, self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L0.release) self.GPSS2DEVS 1 L1 = self.addSubModel(ADVANCE("GPSS2DEVS 1 L1", dist=dist, args=(5,))) self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L1 = self.addSubModel(Hold("GPSS2DEVS 4 L1")) self.connectPorts (self.GPSS2DEVS 1 L1.output, self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L1.input) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L0.output, self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller.delay) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L1.output, self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller.moved) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller.pause, self.GPSS2DEVS 1 L1.pause) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller.broadcast, self.GPSS2DEVS 4 L1.release) self.GPSS2DEVS 5 L2 = self.addSubModel(TERMINATE("GPSS2DEVS 5 L2", '1')) self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS 5 L2.output, self.GPSS2DEVS 2 Controller.terminate)

self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS\_4\_L0.output, self.GPSS2DEVS\_1\_L1.input)
self.connectPorts(self.GPSS2DEVS\_4\_L1.output, self.GPSS2DEVS\_5\_L2.input)



### MPM: PI-DEV+TFSA>(ODE+StEL) to DEVS



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

Ե

R. Paredis, J. Denil, and H.Vangheluwe. 2021. "Specifying and Executing the Combination of Timed Finite State Automata and Causal-Block Diagrams by Mapping onto DEVS". In Proceedings of WSC 2021.

# **MPM: Extending the FTG+PM**





contains					
		А	В	С	D
	1	time	x	у	heading
	596	118.8	0.215843	-0.29999	0.677788
	597	119	0.216126	-0.29976	1.302247
	598	119.2	0.216222	-0.29941	1.599066
	599	119.4	0.216212	-0.29904	1.46084
	600	119.6	0.216252	-0.29868	1.124072
	601	119.8	0.216409	-0.29836	0.877111
	602	120	0.216641	-0.29808	0.865045
	603	120.2	0.216877	-0.2978	1.027622
	604	120.4	0.217065	-0.29749	1.198563
	605	120.6	0.217197	-0.29715	1.255207
	606	120.8	0.21731	-0.2968	1.190118
	607	121	0.217445	-0.29647	1.084936
	608	121.2	0.217614	-0.29615	1.025846
	609	121.4	0.217803	-0.29583	1.041682
	610	121.6	0.217986	-0.29552	1.099589
	611	121.8	0.218151	-0.2952	1.146234
	612	122	0.218301	-0.29487	1.151624
	613	122.2	0.218449	-0.29453	1.123763
	614	122.4	0.218606	-0.29421	1.092062
	615	122.6	0.218773	-0.29388	1.080216
	616	122.8	0.218944	-0.29356	1.090973

# **MPM: CLAVS/ODVS**



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

J. Parezys, R. Paredis, and H.Vangheluwe. 2023. "CLAVS/ODVS: Combining Class/Object Diagrams and DEVS". In Proceedings of WSC 2023.

# **Stages of Twinning Variability – Deployment**



# **Research Questions**

- RQ1: What are the most common reasons/definitions for (creating) Digital Twins (DTs)?
- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
- RQ4: How to quantitatively support deployment choices?
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?



#### Proof-of-Concept: 1D Behaviour of a Vessel



····· target \_\_\_\_ measured\_velocity \_\_\_\_ twin\_velocity



 $egin{aligned} F_R &= rac{1}{2} \cdot 
ho \cdot v^2 \cdot S \cdot C_f \ C_f &= rac{0.075}{\left(\log_{10}(Re)-2
ight)^2} \ R_e &= rac{v \cdot L} \end{aligned}$ Re



41



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

#### Proof-of-Concept: 1D Behaviour of a Ship











R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

#### Proof-of-Concept: 1D Behaviour of a Ship





Data Lake





University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

Experiment (PubSub)

R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

# **Research Questions**

- RQ1: What are the most common reasons/definitions for (creating) Digital Twins (DTs)?
- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
- RQ4: How to quantitatively support deployment choices?
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?





University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

R. Paredis, and H.Vangheluwe. 2022. "Towards a Digital Z Framework Based on a Family of Architectures and a Virtual Knowledge Graph". In Proceedings of MoDELS 2022. R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

# **Conceptual Architecture(s)**





# **Combining Twinning Experiments (TEs)**





# **Combining TEs – Multiple Pols**



# **Combining TEs – Multiple Instances vs Types**



# **Combining TEs – Multiple Combinations**





#### **Proof-of-Concept:** Turtlebot





10.0 -

7.5 -5.0 2.5 -

0.0 -

-2.5

-5.0 -7.5





### **Research Questions**

- RQ1: What are the most common reasons/definitions for (creating) Digital Twins (DTs)?
- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
- RQ4: How to quantitatively support deployment choices?
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?



# Stages of Twinning Variability (main contribution)



University of Antwerp I Faculty of Science

R. Paredis and H.Vangheluwe. 2024. "Modelling and Simulation-Based Evaluation of Twinning Architectures and Their Deployment". In Proceedings of SIMULTECH 2024, pp. 170-182.

### **Future Work**

- RQ2: Given the large number of existing DTs in the literature, can we unify?
  - The literature needs to be re-studied and this unification needs to be shown
- RQ3: Is there a relationship between specific DT requirements, the system architecture, the used models, and the eventual deployment?
  - Interaction between these stages still needs to be analyzed
- RQ5: How can we conveniently combine multiple DTs into a larger system?
  - These combinations need to be verified against the literature



